

Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences

An International Peer Review E-3 Journal of Sciences

Available online at www.jcbpsc.org

Section D: Environmental science



CODEN (USA): JCBPAT

Review Article

Response of chrysanthemum varieties to different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash

N. S. Joshi¹, A. V. Barad² and D. M. Pathak¹

¹ Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Amreli, Gujarat, India.

² College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh Gujarat, India.

Received: 26 March 2013; **Revised:** 19 April 2013; **Accepted:** 22 April 2013

Abstract: A field experiment was conducted on medium black calcareous soil of Horticultural Instructional Farm, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during *rabi* season 2003-04 and 2004-05. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with twenty four treatments replicated three times. The treatments consisted of two varieties viz., IIHR-6 (V₁) and Shyamal (V₂), three levels of nitrogen (100, 200 and 300 N kg ha⁻¹), two levels of phosphorus (100 and 150 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) and two levels of potash (100 and 150 K₂O kg ha⁻¹). Both the varieties significantly influenced growth and flowering parameters in which, plant height, number of branches per plant and leaf area were observed higher in the variety IIHR-6 during both the years and in pooled results, whereas higher fresh and dry weight of plant, weight of 10 flowers, flowering span and dry weight of flowers were recorded in the variety Shyamal. The later variety also took more days for first flower bud initiation and first flower open. Application of nitrogen at 300 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly highest plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plant, flowering span, total fresh and dry weight of flower, weight of 10 flowers and diameter of flower during the year 2003-04, 2004-05 and in pooled data. This dose (300 N kg ha⁻¹) also took less days for first flower bud initiation and first flower open. Phosphorus also played a significant role in improving all of these attributes at higher level except, leaf area, fresh weight of plant, number of days taken for first flower open and flowering span. Effect of potash was failed to influence all of these growth and flowering parameters during both the years and in pooled results.

Key words: Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potash, *Chrysanthemum morifolium* cultivars, growth and flowering parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium*, Ramat) is a popular flower crop for commercial importance belonging to family Asteraceae and native of Europe and Asia. The bloom of the Asteraceae appears on capitulum's inflorescence. It consists of a large number of small florets in very close formation. The florets are of two types, ray florets and disc florets. The ray florets are large, attractive, and colorful and of various shapes which give beauty to head, whereas disc florets are smaller and centrally placed. The chrysanthemum is mainly grown for its cut flower for making bouquets, garlands, *veni* and for decoration during religious and social functions. Some species of chrysanthemum are also cultivated as source of pyrethrum, an important insecticide¹⁻³. Manure schedule of N, P and K plays a major role in successful production of chrysanthemum^{4,5}. It is evident from the literature that very little research work has been carried out on response of chrysanthemum varieties to different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash for growth and flowering parameters in Gujarat state, especially in South Saurashtra region. With this view, the present study was under taken to find out optimum level of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on growth and flowering parameters of chrysanthemum cultivars (IIHR-6 and Shyamal).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during the *rabi* season of 2003-04 and 2004-05 at Horticultural Instructional Farm, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. The soil of experiment site was medium black having 7.6 and 7.5 pH, 0.27 and 0.24 dS/m E.C, 0.67 and 0.69 organic carbon, 235 and 240 kg ha⁻¹ available nitrogen, 31.5 and 28.30 kg ha⁻¹ available phosphorus and 225.78 and 231.67 kg ha⁻¹ available potash content during the year 2003-04 and 2004-05, respectively. The treatments consisted of three levels of nitrogen (100, 200 and 300 kg ha⁻¹), two levels of phosphorus (100 and 150 kg ha⁻¹) and two levels of potash (100 and 150 kg ha⁻¹) in chrysanthemum cultivars viz., IIHR-6 and Shyamal were tested in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The actively growing herbaceous top portion of stem was selected for cutting. The cuttings were taken from the healthy and disease free mother plants of chrysanthemum vars. IIHR-6 and Shyamal. General recommended cultured practices were given to the experimental plot. All the observations were recorded as mentioned in results and the data obtained were averaged and computed. The experiment was repeated for second year and data of two years as well as pooled were used for analysis. Standard statistical procedure was followed for analysis of variance to interference the results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Varieties: In the variety IIHR-6, significantly more plant height, number of branches and leaf area were recorded during both the years and in pooled results (**Table 1**) as compared to the Shyamal variety. Whereas, fresh and dry weight of plant, flowering span, fresh and dry weight of flowers (**Table 2**), weight of 10 flowers and diameter of flowers (**Table 3**) were significantly higher in variety Shyamal. This variety also takes more days for flower bud initiation and for first flower open in both the years and in pooled data (**Table 2**). The higher increase in plant height, branches and leaf area in variety IIHR-6 as compared to variety Shyamal might be due to different in genetically

make-up in the varieties. Bhati and Chitkara⁶ stated that the variation in vegetative growth in all the varieties of marigold tested can be attributed to differences in their genetic composition. The significant variation in number of branches in chrysanthemum varieties is also supported by the findings of Gondhali *et al.*⁷. Whereas significantly difference in fresh and dry weight might be as arrangement and angle of leaves in Shyamal in such way that it remained direct to the sun and so process of photosynthesis occur more and maximum accumulation of photosynthates occurred. Secondly it has vigorous growth and more number of secondary branches so ultimately fresh and dry weight might be increased. The result is in accordance with those, reported in tuberose by Yadav *et al.*⁸. They noted that the fresh and dry weight of bulbs were higher in cv. Double. In which the total fresh weight was higher in cv. Single, but the total dry weight was higher in cv. Double.

Significant effect was also observed between both the varieties for flowering parameters such as number of days taken for flower bud initiation, for open to first flower, duration of flowering, weight of 10 flowers, diameter of flower, fresh and dry weight of flowers during the 2003-04 and 2004-05 and in pooled results (**Table 1 to 3**). Significantly earlier flower bud initiation and flowering were observed in IIHR-6 as compared to Shyamal, while longer flowering span was recorded in variety Shyamal in both the years and in pooled also (**Table 2**).

The flowering characters in different varieties are dependent on proper amounts of stored carbohydrates, which are necessary for inducing the plant from vegetative phase to flowering⁹. Same trend was also found by Kanamadi and Patil¹⁰, they reported¹⁰ that the variety Sharad Mala took 121 days for opening of first flower. Katawale and Patil¹¹ reported that the variety Sharad Mala has a long flowering period and variety Vasantika had a shorter flowering period. Variety Shyamal also recorded higher flower diameter, weight of 10 flowers, and total fresh and dry weight of flowers as compared to variety IIHR-6 in the year 2003-04, 2004-05 and in pooled data (**Table 2 and 3**). This might be due to difference in their genetic make-up of particular variety. Shyamal has vigorous growth, so more photosynthesis occurred at the source (leaves) and used in sink (flower), this might have been increased the weight of flowers.

Likewise, higher cell expansion process in flowers of Shyamal might have increased the size of flowers. The results are in full conformity with the results of Mishra¹². He observed that the variety Shyamal followed by Puja and Suneel produced significantly bigger flowers, whereas variety Vasantika gave the smallest flowers. Similarly, in the present experiment, the weight of flowers per plant was recorded maximum in variety Shyamal. Gondhali *et al.*⁷ also reported that varieties Flirt, Puja and IIHR-6 produced moderate number of flowers and gave highest weight of flowers.

Effect of Nitrogen: The results indicated that plant height; different levels of nitrogen significantly influenced number of branches, leaf area, fresh and dry weight. The highest dose of nitrogen (300 kg ha⁻¹) had recorded highest plant height, number of branches, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plant during the year 2003-04, 2004-05 and in pooled results also (**Table 1**). The increase in plant height at the higher dose of nitrogen (N₃) might be due to the increase in transport of metabolites and rate of photosynthesis in the plant, which enables the plant to have quick and better upward vegetative growth. These results are in agreement with the findings of Lodhi and Tiwari¹³, Belgaonkar *et al.*¹⁴, and Patel¹⁵ in chrysanthemum.

At higher nitrogen level, early flowering occurred and terminal vegetative bud converted in to flower might have broken down the apical dominance of plant resulting in more number of auxiliary shoots. Secondly, the nitrogen supply to the roots is responsible to stimulate the production and export of cytokine to the shoots¹⁶. The increased levels of cytokines in plants due to higher nitrogen application

rate might have caused the lateral buds to sprout producing more number of lateral branches. Rachayanawar¹⁷ stated that the maximum numbers of branches were found with the application of 250 kg N per hectare in chrysanthemum. Avari¹⁸ also reported a significant improvement in number of branches per plant in the marigold with application of 300 kg N ha⁻¹.

Leaf area at full bloom stage was increased with increasing the nitrogen level in both the years and in pooled (**Table 1**). In chrysanthemum leaf area was increased when nitrogen fertilizer was raised from 80-160 mg per liter¹⁹. Nitrogen, as an elementary constituent of amino acid, nucleic acid, proteins, nucleotides, chlorophyll and numerous secondary substances such as alkaloids, thus, an important constituent of the protoplasm.

It also acts as constitute of enzymes. Nitrogen is implicated in all enzyme reactions taking place in the cells and, thus, plays an active role in energy metabolism²⁰. Photosynthetes transported to site of growth are used predominately in the synthesis of nucleic acid and protein, hence during the vegetative stage, N level of plants to a large extent, controls the growth of the plant²¹. Thus, increase in dose of nitrogen from 100 to 300 kg ha⁻¹ had improved cell division, which resulted in greater plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf area and fresh weight of plant.

The highest level of nitrogen has significantly taken least days for flower bud initiation and flowering (**Table 2**). This might be due to that the nitrogen gave vigorous growth and so it produces maximum photosynthetic that are enough for flowering and this way plant could enter early in reproductive phase. These results are in agreement with the findings of Vijaykumar and Shanmugavelu²², who observed that the increase in nitrogen level stimulated early flowering in chrysanthemum. In the present study, the fresh and dry weight of vegetative part of the plant was increased with increasing the levels of nitrogen.

This increase in vegetative growth (fresh weight of plant) may be due to increase in plant height, number of branches and leaf area. The increase in dry weight of plant might be due to increase in the availability of nutrients with increasing nitrogen levels. These findings are in full conformity with the results reported by Ravindra *et al.*²³ and Bapusaheb²⁴ in marigold. They noted that with increasing nitrogen rate there was corresponding increase in dry weight of plant in marigold. Magnifico *et al.*²⁵ found that highest fresh and dry weight of chrysanthemum plants were obtained with N fertilizers applied every two weeks @ 190 kg N/100 m². Significant improvement in dry matter content of stem leaves and flowers in marigold with 200 kg ha⁻¹ were reported by Bapusaheb²⁴.

Highest level of nitrogen also recorded highest fresh weigh of flowers, weigh of 10 flowers and dry weight of flowers. Abundant supply of nitrogen at higher level might have accelerated the photosynthetic activities of plants and thus, more assimilates might have been available for flowers to develop, resulting in increased flower weight per plant. Butters²⁶ observed that high level of N application increased the size of flower in chrysanthemum. Rao *et al.*²⁷ obtained significantly increased weight of flowers per plant in chrysanthemum with increasing N application from 50 to 200 kg ha⁻¹. The nitrogen at N₃ level might have accelerated the photosynthetic activities by increasing the source size (number of branches and leaf area) there by providing facility to develop more flowers with more photosynthates, which might have resulted in increased cell division and cell expansion of flower tissue, the ultimate effect of which had increased in flower size the terms of flower diameter. Kumar *et al.*²⁸ found that 300 kg N ha⁻¹ gave positive response towards flower diameter of China aster.

**Table- 1: Effect different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on vegetative traits of chrysanthemum varieties during
The year 2003-04, 2004-05 and in pooled results**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of branches			Leaf area (cm ²)			Fresh wt. of plant (g)			Dry wt. of plant (g)		
	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled
Variety (V)															
V ₁ = IIHR-6	60.57	59.80	59.19	13.11	13.32	13.62	34.24	33.96	34.10	162.76	160.81	161.79	61.65	60.05	60.85
V ₂ =Shyamal	53.99	55.63	53.80	11.92	10.85	10.98	32.03	31.36	31.69	172.06	169.51	170.79	65.00	63.73	64.37
S.Em.±	0.86	0.96	0.64	0.21	0.21	0.15	0.57	0.47	0.37	2.57	2.68	1.85	0.82	0.76	0.56
C.D. at 5 %	2.44	2.73	1.80	0.59	0.59	0.41	1.61	1.36	1.03	7.31	7.52	5.21	2.35	2.18	1.58
Nitrogen (kg N ha ⁻¹)															
N ₁ -100	52.40	53.41	52.16	11.14	10.69	10.91	31.23	31.66	31.44	152.48	150.84	151.66	54.62	54.87	54.57
N ₂ -200	58.14	57.42	57.04	12.44	11.90	12.17	33.18	32.25	32.72	160.09	161.63	160.76	62.90	60.34	61.62
N ₃ -300	61.30	62.32	60.29	13.97	13.67	13.82	35.00	34.08	34.54	189.66	183.22	186.44	72.46	70.47	71.46
S.Em.±	1.05	1.17	0.78	0.25	0.25	0.18	0.69	0.57	0.45	3.14	3.28	2.27	1.01	0.94	0.69
C.D. at 5 %	2.98	3.34	2.21	0.73	0.72	0.51	1.97	1.64	1.27	8.95	9.34	6.39	2.88	2.67	1.94
Phosphorus (kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)															
P ₁ -100	55.97	56.26	56.11	12.11	11.91	12.01	33.13	32.53	32.83	165.73	164.50	165.12	62.02	61.30	61.66
P ₂ -150	58.60	59.18	58.89	12.92	12.27	12.59	33.14	32.79	32.96	169.09	165.83	167.46	64.63	62.49	63.56
S.Em.±	0.86	0.96	0.64	0.21	0.21	0.15	0.57	0.47	0.37	2.57	2.68	1.85	0.82	0.76	0.56
C.D. at 5 %	2.44	2.73	1.81	0.59	0.81	0.41	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.35	NS	1.58
Potash (kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹)															
K ₁ -100	56.49	57.15	55.82	12.40	12.03	12.21	33.08	32.07	32.57	167.15	163.57	165.36	62.87	61.48	62.17
K ₂ -150	58.08	58.29	57.17	12.63	12.15	12.39	33.19	33.26	33.22	167.67	166.76	167.21	63.78	62.31	63.04
S.Em.±	0.86	0.96	0.64	0.21	0.21	0.15	0.57	0.47	0.37	2.57	2.68	1.85	0.82	0.76	0.56
C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV %	9.04	10.18	9.48	9.98	10.29	10.13	10.23	8.52	9.47	9.19	9.72	9.46	7.82	7.40	7.62

Table- 2: Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on flowering traits of chrysanthemum varieties during the year 2003-04, 2004-05 and in pooled results

Treatments	No. of days taken for flower bud initiation (days)			No. of days taken for first flower open (days)			Flowering span (days)			Diameter of flower (cm)			Dry weight of flowers/ plant (g)		
	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled
Variety (V)															
V ₁ = IHR-6	55.43	53.07	54.25	73.13	73.67	73.40	66.40	68.61	67.50	6.08	6.01	6.05	65.29	63.53	64.41
V ₂ = Shyamal	62.18	60.16	61.17	78.32	78.83	78.58	73.42	73.71	73.56	6.45	6.38	6.42	69.22	68.01	68.70
S.Em.±	0.99	0.77	0.63	1.46	1.30	0.98	1.31	1.03	0.83	0.09	0.08	0.06	1.10	0.97	0.73
C.D. at 5 %	2.82	2.18	1.76	4.16	3.70	2.75	3.72	2.93	2.34	0.26	0.24	0.17	3.14	2.76	2.07
Nitrogen (kg N ha ⁻¹)															
N ₁ -100	62.00	59.40	60.70	78.88	80.75	79.81	64.99	66.87	65.93	5.59	5.52	5.55	55.15	50.55	52.63
N ₂ -200	58.62	56.29	57.46	77.33	76.21	77.02	68.34	70.59	69.46	6.45	6.34	6.40	67.26	68.10	68.90
N ₃ -300	55.81	54.16	54.98	70.96	71.29	71.13	76.40	76.02	76.21	6.77	6.73	6.75	79.35	78.66	79.13
S.Em.±	1.21	0.94	0.77	1.79	1.59	1.20	1.60	1.26	1.02	0.11	0.10	0.07	1.35	1.19	1.69
C.D. at 5 %	3.45	2.67	2.15	5.10	4.53	3.37	4.56	3.59	2.86	0.31	0.29	0.21	3.85	3.38	4.81
Phosphorus (kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)															
P ₁ -100	60.35	57.07	58.89	76.28	77.64	76.96	69.02	70.27	69.64	6.01	6.01	6.01	65.55	64.64	65.01
P ₂ -150	57.27	56.21	56.74	75.17	74.86	75.01	70.80	72.05	71.42	6.53	6.38	6.46	68.96	66.90	68.09
S.Em.±	0.99	0.77	0.63	1.46	1.30	0.98	1.31	1.03	0.83	0.09	0.08	0.06	1.10	0.97	0.73
C.D. at 5 %	2.82	NS	1.76	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.26	0.24	0.17	3.34	NS	2.07
Potash (kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹)															
K ₁ -100	58.94	56.72	57.83	76.47	77.94	77.21	68.87	69.79	69.94	6.17	6.10	6.14	67.07	65.40	66.19
K ₂ -150	58.68	56.52	57.60	74.97	74.56	74.76	70.95	72.53	71.12	6.36	6.29	6.33	67.44	66.14	66.91
S.Em.±	0.99	0.77	0.63	1.46	1.30	0.98	1.31	1.03	0.83	0.09	0.08	0.06	1.10	0.97	0.73
C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV %	10.08	8.11	9.19	11.58	10.22	10.92	11.22	10.00	10.00	8.59	8.05	8.33	9.84	8.85	9.36

Table- 3: Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on yield and yield attributing character of chrysanthemum varieties during the year 2003-04, 2004-05 and in pooled results

Treatments	Weight of 10 flowers (g)			Total fresh weight of flowers/plant (g)			Dry weight of flowers/ plant (g)		
	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled	2003-04	2004-05	Pooled
Variety (V)									
V ₁ = IIHR-6	30.10	29.26	29.68	220.21	214.07	217.14	65.29	63.53	64.41
V ₂ = Shyamal	32.11	31.41	31.76	247.76	242.40	245.08	69.22	68.01	68.70
S.Em.±	0.48	0.36	0.30	4.92	4.63	3.38	1.10	0.97	0.73
C.D. at 5 %	1.36	1.03	0.84	14.01	13.18	9.50	3.14	2.76	2.07
Nitrogen (kg N ha ⁻¹)									
N ₁ -100	26.28	26.03	26.16	162.64	158.70	160.67	55.15	50.55	52.63
N ₂ -200	30.83	29.91	30.37	232.46	227.69	230.08	67.26	68.10	68.90
N ₃ -300	36.20	35.07	35.64	306.85	298.31	302.58	79.35	78.66	79.13
S.Em.±	0.58	0.44	0.37	6.02	5.66	4.13	1.35	1.19	1.69
C.D. at 5 %	1.66	1.26	1.03	17.16	16.14	11.63	3.85	3.38	4.81
Phosphorus (kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)									
P ₁ -100	29.31	28.46	28.89	224.89	220.38	222.64	65.55	64.64	65.01
P ₂ -150	32.90	32.21	32.55	236.73	236.08	239.58	68.96	66.90	68.09
S.Em.±	0.48	0.36	0.30	4.92	4.63	3.38	1.10	0.97	0.73
C.D. at 5 %	1.36	1.03	0.84	14.01	13.18	9.50	3.34	NS	2.07
Potash (kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹)									
K ₁ -100	30.71	30.19	30.45	231.24	225.05	228.24	67.07	65.40	66.19
K ₂ -150	31.50	30.49	30.99	236.73	231.41	234.07	67.44	66.14	66.91
S.Em.±	0.48	0.36	0.30	4.92	4.63	3.38	1.10	0.97	0.73
C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C. V %	9.19	7.12	8.25	12.61	12.61	12.39	9.84	8.85	9.36

Effect of Phosphorus: The growth characters improved significantly with increase in phosphorus application rates except, leaf area and fresh weight of plant (**Table 1**) in the year 2003-04-05 and in pooled analysis. Phosphorus is an essential constituent of cell component such as phosphoproteids and phospholipids are indispensable constituents of the various cell membranes that are also important for the maintenance of cell structure. The storage and liberation of the energy budget and energy metabolism are controlled by the alternate synthesis and break down of energy rich adenosine diphosphate and triphosphate ions. The energy level of organic compound raised by synthesis is phosphate ester and thus, prepared for subsequent reactions such as starch synthesis or respiration²⁰. Anuradha *et al.*²⁹ found significant increase in total plant dry matter of marigold with increasing levels of phosphorus. Similarly, Singatkar³⁰ obtained maximum number of branches per plant with the application of 125 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ in gaillardia.

The higher levels of phosphorus (P₂) were found to be significant on flowering parameters. The higher level of phosphorus P₂ recorded the minimum days for appearance of first flower bud in the year 2003-04 and in pooled but it is non-significant in 2004-05 (**Table 2**). The earliness of flower bud initiation might be due to rapid vegetative growth i.e. number of branches per plant and commencement of early reproductive phase. These results are in agreement with the results of Vijaykumar and Shanmugavelu²² (30) in chrysanthemum and Anuradha *et al.*³¹ in marigold. The highest level of phosphorus resulted in significantly maximum diameter of flower, weight of 10 flowers and total fresh weight of flower (**Table 2 and 3**) in both the years and in pooled results. The improvements in these characters might be due to enhancement in vegetative growth like plant height and number of branches per plant, which are likely to be responsible for more accumulation of photosynthates, hence resulted in giving maximum value in these characters. These findings are very similar to the findings of Jhon and Paul³² and Belgaonkar *et al.*¹⁴ in chrysanthemum.

Effect of Potash: Effect of different levels of potash was found to be non-significant on growth and flowering characters during both the years and during pooled results. The lack of response of applied potash in chrysanthemum may be due to enough availability of potash in the experimental plot (**Table 1 to 3**).

REFERENCES

1. F.T. Chittenden, Dictionary of Gardening. Royal Horticultural Society, Oxford Uni. Press, England 1965
2. G.D. Carter, An Introduction to Floriculture. Academic press. Ind. USA 1980.
3. M.J. Pascual Villalobos, Evaluation of insecticidal activity of *chrysanthemum coronarium* L. Plant extract, Boletín de sanidad Vegetal, 1996, **22** (2) 411-420.
4. O.R. Lunt and A.M. Kofranek, Nitrogen and potassium nutrition of chrysanthemum. *Proc. Amre. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 1958, **72**:487-497
5. C.W. Hansen and J.Lynch, Response of phosphorus availability during vegetative and reproductive growth of chrysanthemum. II. Biomass and phosphorus dynamics, *J.American Soci. Hort. Sci.*, 1998, **123** (2):223-229.
6. R.S.Bhati. and S.D.Chitkara, Effect of pinching and planting distance on the growth and yield of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Res. Dev. Reporter.*, 1978, **4**, (2): 159-164.
7. B.V.Gondhali, E.D. Yadava, and Dhemre, Evaluation of chrysanthemum cultivars for growth and yield. *South Indian Hort.*, 1989, **46**(3-6):164-166.

8. H. Kosegarten. and K. Mengel, Carbohydrate metabolism and partitioning in crop production. *In: Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, (B.B. Singh and Kanrad Mengel eds.). Panima Publishing Corporation, New Delhi, 1995, 1-49.
9. B.S. Yadav, Sukhbir Singh, V.P. Ahlawat and A.S. Mallik, Studies on removal of macro and micro nutrients by tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.), Haryana *J.Hort.Sci.*, 2002, **31** (1/2):44-46.
10. V.C. Kanamadi and A.A. Patil, Performance of chrysanthemum varieties in the transitional track of Karnataka. *South Indian Hort.*, 1993, 41:1
11. S.M. Katawate and M.A. Patil, Performance of newly evolved cultivars of chrysanthemum. *J.MAU.*, 1992, **17**(1):152-153
12. H.P. Mishra, .Evaluation of small flowered varieties of chrysanthemum for calcareous belt of North Bihar. *Indian J. Hort.*, 1999, **56** (2): 184-188.
13. A.K.S. Lodhi, and G.N. Tiwari, Nutritional requirement of chrysanthemum under field condition. *Fert. News*, 1993, **38**, (3): 39-45.
14. D.V. Belgaonkar, M.A. Bist, and M.B. Wankade, Effect of levels of nitrogen and phosphorus with different spacing on growth and yields of annual chrysanthemum. *J. Soil and Crop*, 1996, **6**(2): 154-158.
15. A.P. Patel, Effect of nitrogen through urea and castor cake on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ram.) cv. IIHR-6. Thesis submitted to Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, 2004.
16. H. Wagner and G. Michael, The influence of varied nitrogen supply on the production of cytokinins in sunflower roots. *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanz.* 1971, 162:147-158.
17. C.S. Rachayanavar, Studied on the influence of intra spacing with different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and flower production in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ram.) cv. Mattur. Thesis Abst., 1987, **11** (4): 279-280.
18. R.F. Avari, Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cultivar Lemon. M.Sc. Thesis submitted to Gujarat Agricultural University, Navsari Campus, Gujarat, 1993.
19. U.K. Schuch, R.A. Redak, and J.A. Bethke, Cultivar, fertilizer and irrigation affect vegetative growth and susceptibility of chrysanthemum to Western flower thrips. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 1998, **123**, (4): 727-733.
20. W. Bergmann, 'Nutritional Disorders of Plants-Development, Visual and Analytical Diagnosis.' Gustav Fischer, Jena and New York, 1992, 741.
21. K. Mengel, and E.A. Kirkby, 'Principles of Plant Nutrition' (Third ed.). International Potash Institute, Bern, 1982, 1-198.
22. M. Vijayakumar and K.G. Shanmugavellu, Studies on the effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on chrysanthemum (*C. indicum* L.) cv. Yellow I. Flowering and yield. *Madras Agric. J.*, 1978, **65**(4): 247-252.
23. D.L.V. Ravindran, R. Rama Rao and E. Reddy, Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *South Indian Hort.*, 1986, **34**, (5): 320-323.
24. R.I. Bapusaheb, Studies on the response of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and flower production. *Thesis Abst.*, 1979, **7**, (2): 143-144.
25. N. Magnifico, M.A.C. Talia, M. Mininni, and S. Cordella, Yield, uptake of N, P, K and leaf analysis of carnation cultivar 'Astor' with or without the application of water soluble fertilizers. *Culture protette*, 1986, **14**, (3): 47-54.
26. R.E. Butters, An experiment programme on year round chrysanthemum. *Comm. Grow. No.*, 1971, 3879 :598-599.

27. D.V.R. Rao, S.A.Balasubramanyam, K.B. Reddy, and V.Suryanarayana, Effect of different spacings and nitrogen levels on growth and flower yield of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum indicum* L.) cv. Kasturi. *South Indian Hort.*, 1992, **40**(6): 323-328.
28. Jitendra Kumar, S.S. Chauhan and P.V. Singh, Response of N and P fertilization on China aster. *Paper presented in National Symposium on Indian Floriculture in the New Millennium*, 25-27 Feb., Bagalore, 2002, 38.
29. K Anuradha, k. Pampapathy, and N.Narayana, Effect of N and P_2O_5 on the nutrient composition and uptake by marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *South Indian Hort.*, 1988, **36**(4): 209-211.
30. S.S. Singatkar, R.B. Sawant, and S.A.Ranpise, Effect of different levels of NPK on growth and flower production of gaillardia. *J. Maharashtra Agril. Uni.*, 1995, **20**(3): 392-394.
31. Anuradha, K.; Pampapathy, K. and Narayana, N. (1990). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on flowering, yield and quality of marigold. *Indian J. Hort.*, **47**(3): 363-357
32. A.Q.Jhon, and T.M.Paul, Response of *chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *App. Biol. Res.*, 1999, **1**, (1): 35-38.

Corresponding Author: Dr. N. S. Joshi, Subject Matter Specialist (Horticulture),
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Amreli-365601