

# Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences



An International Peer Review E-3 Journal of Sciences

Available online at [www.jcbpsc.org](http://www.jcbpsc.org)

Section B: Physical Sciences

CODEN (USA): JCBPAT

Research Article

## An Admitting H-Projective Vector Field in Kaehlerian Spaces with Constant Scalar Curvature

U.S. Negi

Department of Mathematics, H.N.B. Garhwal (Central) University, Campus Badshahi Thaul,  
Tehri Garhwal – 249 199, Uttarakhand, India.

**Received:** 03 August 2014; **Revised:** 20 August 2014; **Accepted:** 27 August 2014

**Abstract:** Ishihara<sup>1</sup> has studied holomorphically projective changes and their groups in a almost complex manifold and also proved on holomorphic planes. Obata<sup>2</sup> has defined and studied Riemannian manifolds admitting a solution of a certain system of differential equations. Also, Negi and Gairola<sup>3,4</sup> have explain an admitting a conformal transformation group on Kaehlerian recurrent spaces. In this paper, I have defined and studied an admitting H-projective vector field in Kaehlerian spaces with constant scalar curvature and several theorems have been proved. Also, then find necessary and sufficient conditions for such a Kaehlerian space to be isometric to a complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric.

**Keywords:** Almost Hermitian spaces, Kaehlerian spaces, H-projective vector field, associated covariant vector field, Fubini-Study metric.

**MSC 2010:** 53C15, 53C50, 53C55, 53C80, 53B35.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a connected Kaehlerian spaces of complex dimension  $n$  covered by a system of real coordinate neighborhood  $(U; x^h)$ , where, here and in the sequel the indices  $h, i, j, k, \dots$ , run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ , and let  $g_{ji}$ ,  $F^h_i \{^h_j\}$ ,  $\nabla_i$ ,  $K^h_{kji}$ ,  $K_{ji}$ , and  $K$  be the Hermitian metric tensor, the complex structure tensor, the Christoffel symbols formed with  $g_{ji}$ , the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $\{^h_j\}$ , the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of  $M$  respectively.

A vector field  $v^h$  is called a holomorphically projective (so called H-projective) vector field Ishihara<sup>5</sup>, Yano<sup>6</sup>, if it satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_v \{v^h\} = \nabla_j \nabla_i v^h + v^k K_{kji}^h = \rho_j \delta_i^h + \rho_i \delta_j^h - \rho_s F_j^s F_i^h - \rho_s F_i^s F_j^h$$

For a certain covariant vector field  $\rho_j$  on  $M$  called the associated covariant vector field of  $v^h$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_v$  denotes the operator of Lie- derivative with respect to  $v^h$ . In particular, if  $\rho_j$  is the zero-vector field, then  $v^h$  is called an affine vector field. Also, if we refer in the sequel to an H-projective vector field  $v^h$ , then we always mean by  $\rho_j$  the associated covariant vector field taking in (1.1).

Now, Let  $M$  be a Kaehlerian space of complex dimension  $n$ . The complex structure tensor  $F_i^h$  and the Hermitian metric tensor structure  $g_{ji}$  satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad F_i^h F_j^i = -\delta_j^h, \quad \nabla_j F_i^h = 0, \quad \nabla_j F_{ih} = 0,$$

$$(1.3) \quad F_j^s g_{si} = -F_i^s g_{js}$$

$$(1.4) \quad g_{ji} = -F_j^t F_i^s g_{ts}$$

We have Yano (1965), for the curvature tensor  $K_{kji}^h$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad F_s^h K_{kji}^s = F_i^s K_{kjs}^h$$

Or equivalently

$$(1.6) \quad K_{kji}^h = -F_i^t F_s^h K_{kjt}^s$$

$$(1.7) \quad F_h^s K_{kjis} = -F_i^s K_{kjsh}$$

$$(1.8) \quad K_{kjih} = F_i^t F_h^s K_{jts}^s, \quad \text{where } K_{kjih} = K_{kji}^t g_{th}$$

Using (1.5) and the identity  $K_{kji}^h + K_{ikj}^h + K_{jik}^h = 0$ ,

We obtain

$$F_s^h K_i^s = g^{ut} F_s^h K_{iut}^s = F^{ts} K_{its}^h = \frac{1}{2} F^{ts} (K_{its}^h - K_{ist}^h) = -\frac{1}{2} F^{ts} K_{tsi}^h$$

Where  $g^{ji}$  are contravariant components of  $g_{ji}$  and  $F^{ts} = g^{ti} F_i^s$ , that is,

$$(1.9) \quad F_s^h K_i^s = -\frac{1}{2} F^{kj} K_{kji}^h,$$

From which it follows that

$$(1.10) \quad F_i^s K_{hs} = -\frac{1}{2} F^{kj} K_{kjih}.$$

For the Ricci tensor  $K_{ji}$ , (1.9) we have

$$(1.11) \quad F_i^s K_s^h = F_s^h K_i^s$$

or equivalently

$$(1.12) \quad K_i^h = -F_i^t F_s^h K_t^s$$

Similarly, from (1.10) we have

$$(1.13) \quad F_j^s K_{si} = -F_i^s K_{js}$$

or equivalently

$$(1.14) \quad K_{ji} = F_j^t F_i^s K_{ts}$$

A vector field  $u^h$  on M is said to be contravariant analytic if

$$(1.15) \quad F_j^s \nabla_s u_i = -F_i^s \nabla_j u_s$$

or equivalently

$$(1.16) \quad \nabla_j u_i = F_j^t F_i^s \nabla_t u_s,$$

Where  $u_i = g_{ih} u^h$ , Since

$$\mathcal{L}_v F_i^h = -F_i^s \nabla_s u^h + F_s^h \nabla_i u^s = -(F_i^t \nabla_t u_s + F_s^t \nabla_i u_t) g^{sh},$$

a vector field  $u^h$  on M is contravariant analytic if and only if

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{L}_v F_i^h = 0$$

Holds, where  $\mathcal{L}_v$  denotes the operator of Lie derivation with respect to  $u^h$ .

It is known Yano (1965) that if M is compact, then a necessary and sufficient condition for a vector field  $u^h$  and M to be contravariant analytic is that

$$(1.18) \quad \nabla^j \nabla_j u^h + K_i^h u^i = 0$$

Holds, where  $\nabla^j = g^{ji} \nabla_i$ .

For an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on M defined by (1.1), we have

$$(1.19) \quad \nabla_j \nabla_s v^s = 2(n+1) \rho_j,$$

$$(1.20) \quad \nabla^j \nabla_j v^h + K_i^h v^i = 0$$

(1.19) shows that the associated covariant vector field  $\rho_j$  is gradient. Putting

$$(1.21) \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \nabla_s v^s$$

We have

$$(1.22) \quad \rho_j = \nabla_j \rho.$$

If an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on M is contravariant analytic, then substituting (1.1) in the well-known formula Yano (1965, 1970)

$$\mathcal{L}_v K_{kji}^h = \nabla_k \mathcal{L}_v \{j^h{}_i\} - \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_v \{k^h{}_i\}$$

And using a straightforward computation we find

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_v K_{kji}^h = & -\delta_k^h \nabla_j \rho_i + \delta_j^h \nabla_k \rho_i + (F_k^h \nabla_j \rho_s - F_j^h \nabla_k \rho_s) F_i^s \\ & + (F_k^s \nabla_j \rho_s - F_j^s \nabla_k \rho_s) F_i^h, \end{aligned}$$

From which by contracting with respect to  $h$  and  $k$ , we obtain

$$(1.24) \quad \mathcal{L}_v K_{ji} = -2n \nabla_j \rho_i - 2 F_j^t F_i^s \nabla_t \rho_s.$$

Obata<sup>2</sup> has defined and studied let M be a complete connected and simply connected Kaehlerian manifold. In order for M to admit a nontrivial solution  $\varphi$  of a system of Partial differential equations

$$\nabla_j \nabla_i \varphi_h + \frac{c}{4} (2\varphi_j g_{ih} + \varphi_i g_{jh} + \varphi_h g_{ji} - F_{ji} F_h^s \varphi_s - F_{jh} F_i^s \varphi_s) = 0$$

With a constant  $c > 0$ , where  $\varphi_h = \nabla_h \varphi$  and  $F_{ji} = F_j^t g_{ti}$ , it is necessary and sufficient that M be isometric to a complex projective space  $CP^n$  with Fubini-Study metric and of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ .

## 2. KAEHLERIAN SPACES WITH CONSTANT SCALAR

### CURVATURE

A Kaehlerian space M has the constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $k$  if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad K_{kji}^h = \frac{k}{4} (\delta_k^h g_{ji} - \delta_j^h g_{ki} + F_k^h F_{ji} - F_j^h F_{ki} - 2F_{kj} F_i^h)$$

We define tensor fields  $G_{ji}$  and  $Z_{kji}^h$  on M by

$$(2.2) \quad G_{ji} = K_{ji} - \frac{k}{2n} g_{ji}$$

$$(2.3) \quad Z_{kji}^h = K_{kji}^h - \frac{k}{4n(n+1)} (\delta_k^h g_{ji} - \delta_j^h g_{ki} + F_k^h F_{ji} - F_j^h F_{ki} - 2F_{kj} F_i^h)$$

Respectively. We then easily see that the tensor fields  $G_{ji}$  and  $Z_{kji}^h$  satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad G_{ji} = G_{ij}, \quad G_{ji} g^{ji} = 0, \quad Z_{tji}^t = G_{ji},$$

$$(2.5) \quad Z_{kjih} = -Z_{kijh}, \quad Z_{kjih} = Z_{ihkj},$$

$$(2.6) \quad Z_{kji}^h + Z_{ikj}^h + Z_{jik}^h = 0,$$

Where  $Z_{kjih} = Z_{kji}^t g_{th}$ . If  $G_{ji} = 0$ , then M is a Kaehler-Einstein space and  $K$  is a constant provided  $n > 1$ , if  $Z_{kji}^h = 0$ , then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $K/n(n+1)$  provided  $n > 1$ .

Now, we have the following

**Theorem (2.1):** If an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on a Kaehlerian space M of complex dimension  $n > 1$  is contravariant analytic, then the associated vector field  $\rho^h$  is also contravariant analytic, and

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_v K_{ji} = -2(n+1) \nabla_j \rho_i,$$

Where  $\rho^h = \rho_i g^{ih}$ .

**Proof:** Applying the operator  $\rho_v$  of Lie derivation with respect to  $v^h$  to both sides of (1.14) and using  $\mathcal{L}_v F_i^h = 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_v K_{ji} = F_j^t F_i^s \mathcal{L}_v K_{ts},$$

From which together with (1.24) we see that  $\rho^h$  is contravariant analytic and (2.7) holds. Then, we have

**Theorem (2.2):** If a Kaehlerian space M is compact, then an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on M is contravariant analytic, and consequently  $\mathcal{L}_v F_i^h = 0$ . Moreover, if  $n > 1$ , then the associated vector field  $\rho^h$  is contravariant analytic.

**Theorem (2.3):** For a contravariant analytic H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on a Kaehlerian space M with constant scalar K of complex dimension  $n > 1$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_v G_{ji} = -\nabla_j w_i - \nabla_i w_j,$$

Where we have put

$$(2.9) \quad w^h = (n+1)\rho^h + \frac{K}{2n} v^h,$$

And  $w_i = g_{ih} w^h$

**Proof:** This follows from (2.2), (2.7) and the fact that  $\rho_j$  is gradient, that is  $\rho_j = \nabla_j \rho$ .

**Theorem (2.4):** For an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on a compact Kaehlerian space M, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \int_M \rho f dV = -\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \int_M \mathcal{L}_v f dV$$

For any real function  $f$  on M, where  $dV$  denotes the volume element of M, and  $\rho$  is the function defined by (1.21).

**Proof:** This follows from (1.21) and

$$0 = \int_M \nabla_i (f v^i) dV = \int_M f \nabla_i v^i dV + \int_M v^i \nabla_i f dV.$$

**Theorem (2.5):** In a compact Kaehlerian space M, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \int_M \mathcal{L}_{Df} h dV = \int_M \mathcal{L}_{Dh} f dV = \int_M (\nabla_i f) (\nabla^i h) dV = \int_M f \Delta h dV = - \int_M h \Delta f dV$$

For any real functions  $f$  and  $h$  on M, where  $\mathcal{L}_{Df}$  denotes the operator of Lie derivation with respect to the vector to the vector field  $\nabla^i f$ , and  $\Delta = g^{ji} \nabla_j \nabla_i$ .

**Proof:** This follows from

$$0 = \int_M \nabla_i (f \nabla^i h) dV = \int_M (\nabla_i f) (\nabla^i h) dV = \int_M f \Delta h dV,$$

$$0 = \int_M \nabla_i (h \nabla^i f) dV = \int_M (\nabla_i h) (\nabla^i f) dV = \int_M h \Delta f dV.$$

**Theorem (2.6):** If, in a compact Kaehlerian space M, a nonconstant function  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla_j \nabla_i \varphi_h + \frac{c}{2} (2\varphi_j g_{ih} + \varphi_i g_{jh} + \varphi_h g_{ji} - F_{ji} F_h^s \varphi_s - F_{jh} F_i^s \varphi_s) = 0.$$

Where  $\varphi_h = \nabla_h \varphi$ ,  $c$  being a real constant, then the constant  $c$  is necessarily positive.

**Proof:** Transvecting (2.12) with  $g^{ih}$ , we have

$$\nabla_j \Delta \varphi + (n+1)c \varphi_j = 0$$

From which and Theorem (2.5) it follows that

$$c \int_M \varphi_j \varphi^j dV = -\frac{1}{n+1} \int_M (\nabla_j \Delta \varphi) \varphi^j dV = \frac{1}{n+1} \int_M (\Delta \varphi)^2 dV$$

Where  $\varphi^j = g^{ij} \varphi_i$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a nonconstant function, two inequalities

$$\int_M \varphi_j \varphi^j dV > 0, \quad \int_M (\Delta \varphi)^2 dV > 0.$$

Hold, and consequently C is necessarily positive.

**Theorem (2.7):** If a Kaehlerian space M with constant scalar curvature  $K$  admits an H-projective vector field  $v^h$ , and the vector field  $w^h$

Defined by (2.9) is a Killing vector field, then the associated covariant vector field  $\rho_j$  satisfies

$$(2.13) \quad \nabla_j \nabla_i \rho_h + \frac{k}{4n(n+1)} (2\rho_j g_{ih} + \rho_i g_{jh} + \rho_h g_{ji} - F_{ji} F_h^s \rho_s - F_{jh} F_i^s \rho_s) = 0$$

Moreover, if M is complete and simply connected,  $K$  is positive and  $v^h$  is non-affine, then M is isometric to a complex projective space  $CP^n$  with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $k/n(n+1)$ .

**Proof:** By using (1.1), we have

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_j (\nabla_i v_h + \nabla_h v_i) = 2\rho_j g_{ih} + \rho_i g_{jh} + \rho_h g_{ji} - F_{ji} F_h^s \rho_s - F_{jh} F_i^s \rho_s$$

If  $w^h$  is a Killing vector field, then

$$\nabla_i w_h + \nabla_h w_i = 0,$$

Holds, and consequently

$$2(n+1) \nabla_i \rho_h + \frac{k}{2n} (\nabla_i v_h + \nabla_h v_i) = 0,$$

Which together with (2.14) implies (2.13). The second part of the lemma proved, if M is compact, then we can remove the positiveness of the scalar curvature K.

**Theorem (2.8):** For an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on M is a compact Kaehlerian space of complex dimension  $n > 1$  with constant scalar curvature K, we have

$$(2.15) \quad \int_M (\nabla_j w_i + \nabla_i w_j) (\nabla^j w^i + \nabla^i w^j) dV = 2 \int_M (\nabla_t w^t)^2 dV$$

**Proof:** By using a well-known integral formula Yano<sup>6,7</sup> on a compact orientable Riemannian space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (\nabla^j \nabla_j w^h + K_i^h w^i) w_h dV - \int_M (\nabla_t w^t)^2 dV \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_M (\nabla_j w_i + \nabla_i w_j) (\nabla^j w^i + \nabla^i w^j) dV = 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem (2.2) the associated vector field  $\rho^h$  is contravariant analytic and hence satisfies

$$\nabla^j \nabla_j \rho^h + K_i^h \rho^i = 0.$$

Consequently (2.15) follows immediately from (1.20) and the above relations since K is a constant.

**Theorem (2.9):** For an H-projective vector field  $v^h$  on M, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad & \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j w^i dV \\
 &= \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \int_M (\nabla_j w_i + \nabla_i w_j) (\nabla^j w^i + \nabla^i w^j) dV.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** From Theorem (2.2), the associated vector field  $\rho^h$  is contravariant analytic and hence satisfies

$$\nabla^i \nabla_j \rho^i + K_j^i \rho^j = 0,$$

From which and the equality

$$\nabla_i \nabla_t \rho^t = \nabla^t \nabla_t \rho_i - K_{ji} \rho^j$$

We find

$$\nabla_i \nabla_t \rho^t = -2 K_{ji} \rho^j.$$

Using the above equation (1.19),(2.2),(2.9) and Theorem(2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j w^i dV &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_M (\nabla_i \nabla_t \rho^t) w^i dV \\
 &\quad - \frac{k}{4n(n+1)} \int_M (\nabla_i \nabla_t v^t) w^i dV \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \int_M (\nabla_i \nabla_t w^t) w^i dV \\
 &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \int_M (\nabla_t w^t)^2 dV \\
 &= \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \int_M (\nabla_j w_i + \nabla_i w_j) (\nabla^j w^i + \nabla^i w^j) dV.
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3. COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

We have the following

**Theorem (3.1):** A complete simply connected Kaehlerian space  $M$  of complex dimension  $n > 1$  with positive constant scalar curvature  $K$  admits a nonaffine and contravariant analytic H-projective vector field  $v^h$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_v G_{ji} = 0,$$

If and only if  $M$  is isometric to a complex projective space  $CP^n$  with Fubini-Study metric and of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $k/n(n+1)$ .

**Proof:** This follows from Theorem (2.3) and (2.7).

**Theorem (3.2):** A complete simply connected Kaehlerian space  $M$  of complex dimension  $n > 1$  with positive constant scalar curvature  $K$  admits a nonaffine and contravariant analytic H-projective vector field  $v^h$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_v Z_{kji}^h = 0,$$

If and only if  $M$  is isometric to a complex projective space  $CP^n$  with Fubini-Study metric and of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $k/n(n+1)$ .

**Proof:** If (3.2) holds, then we have  $\nabla_t w^t = 0$  and hence  $w^h$

is a Killing vector field. Consequently the theorem follows from theorem (2.7)

**Theorem (3.3):** A compact Kaehlerian space  $M$  of complex dimension  $n > 1$  with constant scalar curvature  $K$  admits an H-projective vector field  $v^h$ . We have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j w^i dV \geq 0,$$

Where  $w^i$  is defined by (2.9). Assume moreover that  $M$  is simply connected and  $v^h$  is nonaffine, then the equality in (3.3) holds if and only if  $M$  is isometric to a complex projective space  $CP^n$  with Fubini-Study metric and of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $k/n(n+1)$ .

**Proof:** This follows from Theorem (2.7) and (2.9).

## REFERENCES

1. S.Ishihara, Holomorphically projective changes and their groups in an almost complex manifold. *Tohoku Math. j.* 1959, **9**, 273- 297.
2. M.Obata, Riemannian manifolds admitting a solution of a certain system of differential equations. Proc. U. S.-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto, Japan, 1965, 101-114.
3. U.S.Negi, and Gairola Kailash, On H-Projective transformations in almost Kaehlerian spaces, *Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Maths*, 2012, **1:3**, 162–165.
4. U.S.Negi, and Gairola Kailash; Admitting a conformal transformation group on Kaehlerian recurrent spaces, *Internationa Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 2012, **3(4)**, 1584-1589.
5. S.Ishihara, On holomorphic planes. *Ann.Math.Pura Appl.*, 1959, **47**,197-241.
6. K.Yano, Differential Geometry on complex and almost complex spaces. Pergamon Press, Oxford.1965.
7. K.Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry. Marcel Dekker, New York,1970.

**Corresponding Author U.S. Negi;**

Department of Mathematics,H.N.B. Garhwal (Central) University, Campus Badshahi Thaul,  
Tehri Garhwal – 249 199, Uttarakhand, India