

Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences



An International Peer Review E-3 Journal of Sciences

Available online at www.jcbpsc.org

Section C: Physical Sciences

CODEN (USA): JCBPAT

Research Article

The Pseudo Inverse of a Partitioned Matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{21} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{23} \\ \mathbf{A}_{32} & \mathbf{A}_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

G.Ramesh¹ and B.K.N. Muthugobal²

¹Ramanujan Research Centre, Department of Mathematics,
Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam .

²Bharathidasan University constituent Arts and Science College, Nannilam.
Tamil Nadu – India.

Received: 15 March 2015; **Revised:** 26 March 2015; **Accepted:** 4 April 2015

INTRODUCTION

If A_{11} is an $m \times n$ matrix over the complex field, then the Pseduo-inverse of A_{11} , denoted A_{11}^\dagger , is an $n \times m$ matrix such that

$$A_{11} A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} = A_{11} \quad \dots (1.1)$$

$$A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} A_{11}^\dagger = A_{11}^\dagger \quad \dots (1.2)$$

$$(A_{11} A_{11}^\dagger)^* = A_{11} A_{11}^\dagger \quad \dots (1.3)$$

$$(A_{11}^\dagger A_{11})^* = A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} \quad \dots (1.4)$$

Any matrix which satisfies equation (1.1) is called an 1-inverse of A_{11} . A generalized inverse of A_{11} will indicate a matrix X satisfying some of the conditions (1.1) through (1.4).

If $M = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & [0 \ 0] \\ [A_{21}] & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ [A_{31}] \end{bmatrix}$ and M is invertible, then M^{-1} is lower block triangular. It is natural then to ask the following question.

For an $m \times n$ partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & [0 \ 0] \\ [A_{21}] & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ [A_{31}] \end{bmatrix} \quad \dots(1.5)$$

when is the Psedu-inverse also lower block triangular? C. Meyer has given necessary and sufficient conditions for this question in [2].

We first give a formula for computing M^\dagger , and then we obtain Meyer's result as a corollary to this general expansion. We also examine some other cases which occur rather naturally.

In [3], Meyer considers square matrices which are upper triangular and he determines conditions for a generalized inverse to be upper triangular. Moreover, he gives explicit formulas for determining these inverses in some special cases.

Throughout our paper, we shall restrict our attention (except for a fleeting reference to 1-inverses) to the Psedu-inverse. We shall use the following well-known facts in our work [e.g., see 4].

$$A_{11}^\dagger = A_{11}^* (A_{11} A_{11}^*)^\dagger = (A_{11}^* A_{11})^\dagger A_{11}^* \quad \dots(1.6)$$

$$(A_{11} A_{11}^*)^\dagger = (A_{11}^\dagger)^* A_{11}^\dagger \quad \dots(1.7)$$

$$\text{If } N(A_{11}) \text{ denotes the null column space of } A_{11}, \text{ then } N(A_{11}) \subset N(B) \text{ if and only if } B = BA_{11}^\dagger A_{11}. \quad \dots(1.8)$$

Lemmas: In order to prove our Theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1

For M partitioned as in (1.5), we have $M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{13} \end{bmatrix}^* L^\dagger \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* L^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{13} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{13} \end{bmatrix}^* + \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^*, \text{ if and only if } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Proof:

Assume $M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{13} \end{bmatrix}^* L^\dagger \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* L^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$ then by (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} + LL^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \quad \dots(2.2)$$

By the definition of L, we have $N[L] \subseteq N \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{13} \end{bmatrix}^*$, so $LL^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}$ (1.8)

Then (2.2) implies $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} = 0$, and hence $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger = 0$. But $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger = 0$ is equivalent to $A_{11} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = 0$, so the necessity is Complete. For the sufficiency, we will use relation (1.6) we have

$$M^\dagger = M^* (M - M^*)^\dagger, \text{ so}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & [0] \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^* & \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \\ [0] & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} A_{11}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* + \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \end{bmatrix}^\dagger$$

Since $A_{11} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = 0$.

$$\text{Thus } M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^* (A - A_{11}^*)^\dagger & \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* L^\dagger \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* L^\dagger \end{bmatrix},$$

Which gives the desired result

Lemma 2.3:

If M is partitioned as in (1.5), then

$$M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} K^\dagger A_{11}^* & K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } K = A_{11}^* A_{11} + \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \text{ if and only if } \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Proof:

For the necessity, use (1.1) to obtain $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* K^\dagger K + \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}$,

which implies $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} = 0$. For the Sufficiency, again we employ (1.6).

The Psedu-Inverse of $M = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & [0 \ 0] \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$ we first determine the Psedu-Inverse of M given in (1.5).

Theorem:

$$\text{Let } M = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & [0 \ 0] \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Then } M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} K^+ A_{11}^* - K^+ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} F & K^+ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H \\ F & H \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K = A_{11}^* A_{11} + \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix},$$

Where

$$D = -A_{11} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T = D^* D + E^* E,$$

$$S = K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} [I - T^\dagger T],$$

$$F = T^\dagger 0^* + [I - T^\dagger T] [I + S^* S]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \left[K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger 0^* \right],$$

And

$$H = T^\dagger E^* + [I - T^\dagger T] [I + S^* S]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \left[K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger E^* \right]$$

Proof:

Cline [1] has shown that if $U V^* = U$, then

$$(U + V)^\dagger = U^\dagger + (I - U^\dagger V) \begin{bmatrix} G^\dagger + (I - G^\dagger G) & QV^*(U^\dagger)^* \\ V^*(U^\dagger)^* & U^\dagger(I - VG^\dagger) \end{bmatrix},$$

Where $G = V - UU^\dagger V$, $Q = [I + (I - G^\dagger G) \quad V^*(U^\dagger)^* \quad U^\dagger V(I - G^\dagger G)]^{-1}$, now,

$$\text{Let } U = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = U + V$$

$$\text{And } UV^* = 0. \text{ Hence, cline's theorem is applicable by Lemma 2.3, } U^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} K^\dagger A_{11}^* & K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{Where } K = A_{11}^* A_{11} + \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \text{ Thus, } G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -A_{11} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$

Let $0 = -A_{11}K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ and $E = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$

Then we have $G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and the fact $G^\dagger = [G^{*\dagger}]^*$, we get $G^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T^\dagger D^* & T^\dagger E^* \end{bmatrix}$

Where $T = D^*D + E^*E$.

Hence, $I - G^\dagger G = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I - T^\dagger T \end{bmatrix}$, $U^\dagger V [I - G^\dagger G] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} (I - T^\dagger T) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

And $Q = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & [I + S^*S]^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where $S = K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} (I - T^\dagger T)$, and

$I - VG^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger 0^* & I - \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger E^* \end{bmatrix}$

Now, $U^\dagger (I - VG^\dagger) \begin{bmatrix} K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger D^* & K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger E^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

So $G^\dagger + [I - G^\dagger G] Q V^* [U^\dagger]^* U^\dagger [I - VG^\dagger] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ F & H \end{bmatrix}$,

Where

$$F = T^\dagger D^* + (I - T^\dagger T)(I + S^*S)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \left[K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger D^* \right]$$

$$H = T^\dagger H^* + (I - T^\dagger T)(I + S^*S)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \left[K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger E^* \right]$$

$$\text{And } I - U^\dagger V = \begin{bmatrix} I & -K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$(I - U^\dagger V) \left[G^\dagger + (I - G^\dagger G) Q V^* \left[U^\dagger \right]^* U^\dagger (I - V G^\dagger) \right] = \\ \begin{bmatrix} -K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} F & -K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H \\ F & H \end{bmatrix},$$

And finally we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & [0 \ 0] \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \\ = (U + V)^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} -K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} F & -K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H \\ F & H \end{bmatrix},$$

In [2, p. 748, Theorem 6], c. Meyer has given a formula for (1)-inverses of partitioned upper block triangular matrices. Our theorem also accomplishes this task, since the Pseduoinverse is clearly a (1)-inverse. However, since (1)-inverses are not unique, our results are, in general, different from those of Meyer. For example, if

At this point, we note the following identities, whose proofs are straight forward.

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* E \quad \dots(3.1)$$

$$\text{If } R = I + S^* S, \text{ then } T^\dagger T R^{-1} = R^{-1} T^\dagger T \quad \dots(3.2)$$

$$D^* A_{11} + E^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \dots(3.3)$$

$$F = T^\dagger D^* + R^{-1} S^* \left[K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger D^* \right] \quad \dots(3.4)$$

$$H = T^\dagger E^* + R^{-1} S^* \left[K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger E^* \right] \quad \dots(3.5)$$

We shall assume throughout the remainder of the paper that M is pseudo partitioned as in (1.5) moreover, we now consider necessary and sufficient conditions for M^\dagger to be upper block triangular, lower block triangular, and list at the end of the paper some special for us.

Corollary 3.6

$M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ O & Z \end{bmatrix}$ and only if $S^* K^\dagger A_{11}^* = 0$ and $D^* = 0$, where S, K, and D are as defined in the theorem,

Proof:

From the Theorem, we can see that $M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ O & Z \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow F = 0$.

But from (3.4), we have

$$RF = RT^\dagger D^* + S^* K^\dagger A_{11}^* - S^* K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} T^\dagger D^* \quad \dots(3.7)$$

By the definition of F, we have

$$TF = TT^\dagger D^* = D^* \text{ since } N(T) \subset N(\cap)$$

Thus $F=0$ implies $D^*=0$.

From (3.7), we get, $S^* K^\dagger A_{11}^* = 0$ and $D^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow F = 0$

This completes the Proof

Note.

$$F = 0 \Rightarrow T = E^* E \Rightarrow T^\dagger E^* = E^\dagger \Rightarrow H = E^\dagger + R^{-1} S^* \left[K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} E^\dagger \right]$$

Corollary 3.8 [2, p.746 Theorem 4]

$$M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ O & Z \end{bmatrix}$$

If and only if $N(A_{11}) \subset N\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}$ and $N\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \subset N\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^*$. In this case, we have

$$M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ -\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof from the theorem, we see that

$$M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ Y & Z \end{bmatrix}$$

If and only if $K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H$. If $K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H$,

Then $KK^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = KK^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H$, and we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H. \text{ Now } TH = TT^\dagger E^* = E^*$$

Implies $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} EH = E^*$. Thus $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^*$ and using(1.6)

We get $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger$, and $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger$,

hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger,$$

$$\text{And } N \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \subseteq N \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix},$$

It can be shown that $H = T^\dagger E^*$ and $F = T^\dagger D^*$ If $K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H$.

Thus

$$FA_{11} + H \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = T^\dagger D^* A_{11} + T^\dagger E^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = T^\dagger \left[D^* A_{11} + E^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \right] = 0$$

By (3.3), and we get

$$FA_{11} = -H \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \quad \dots(3.9)$$

Note next that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger A_{11}^* A_{11} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} F$$

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger A_{11}^* A_{11} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \left[-H \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \right] \text{ by (3.9)}$$

This last term is the same as $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger K = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}$

Finally,

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger A_{11}^* A_{11} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} F A_{11} \right] A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} \text{ yields}$$

$\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}$, which is equivalent to $N(A_{11}) \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}$. On the other hand, it is straight forward

to verify that when $N(A_{11}) \subseteq N \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$N \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \subseteq N \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } M^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ -\begin{pmatrix} A_{22} & A^{23} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{pmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$$

We note that if m is invertible (ie A_{11} and $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ are invertible), then

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ -\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose $A_{11} = 0$. Then M^\dagger is lower block triangular if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = 0$. There are many special cases which can be derived from corollary 3.8.

In conclusion, the following special results can be obtained.

$$\text{If } K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} H, \text{ then } K^\dagger A_{11}^* - K^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} F = A_{11}^\dagger,$$

$$F = - \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} A_{11}^{\dagger}, \quad H \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} = T^{\dagger} T, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger}, \text{ and } S = 0 \quad \dots(3.10)$$

$$M^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{\dagger} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \text{ if and only if } \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ and } A_{11} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = 0 \dots(3.11)$$

$$M^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{\dagger} & D^{\dagger} \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} D^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $D = \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}$

If and only if $A_{11} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* = 0$ and $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} D^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \dots(3.12)$

$$M^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{\dagger} & \rho \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \rho \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $\rho = Q^{-1} \left[\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^* \right]$ and $Q = I + \left[\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \right]^* \left[\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \right]$

If and only if $A_{11} \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} = 0$ and $N \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}^* \subset N \begin{bmatrix} A_{21} \\ A_{31} \end{bmatrix} \dots(3.13)$

REFERENCE

1. Cline; R.E. Representation of the Generalized Inverse of Sums of Matrices . SIAMJ Number. Anal., Ser. B.2; 1965, 99-114.
2. Meyer, Carl; Generalized Inverse of Block Triangular Matrices, SIAM J. Applied Math; 1970, 19, 741 – 750.
3. Meyer, Carl, Generalized Inverse of Triangular Matrices, SIAMJ. Appl. Math; 1970, 18, 401-406.

4. C.R.Rao and S.K.Mitra; Generalized Inverse of Matrices and its Applications, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Newyork; 1971, 21, 67.

Author for Correspondence: B.K.N. Muthugobal

Bharathidasan University constituent Arts and Science College, Nannilam.
Tamil Nadu – India.